

# BASL e Law Reporter

eNewsletter of the Law Reports & Lawnet Committee of the Bar Association of Sri Lanka

Decided Cases of the  
Supreme Court and the Court of Appeal  
of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka  
with links to Full Judgements

---

Issue 1 - September 2021

*Covering period 1st January 2021 to 30th April 2021*

---

Consulting Editor: Hon Yasantha Kodagoda PC,  
Judge of the Supreme Court of Sri Lanka

---

Published by

The Law Reports & Lawnet Committee of the Bar Association of Sri Lanka

Chairman : Felician Fernandopulle

Convenor : Charitha Jayawickrema

Co-Convenor : Samantha Thennakoon

Member : Asela Moragolla

Editors:

Mohamed Muzni Yakoob | Sanuri Wanninayake |

Nimesha Wijesiriwardane | Gihan Liyanage | Vinura Jayawardene

email: [lawnetlawreports@gmail.com](mailto:lawnetlawreports@gmail.com)

---

## Subjects

[Administrative Law](#)

[Arbitration](#)

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

Court of Appeal

CA (Writ) Application No: 440/2014

Administrative Law-**Writ of Certiorari/Writ of Mandamus-**

Preliminary Objections against the granting of Writs-Who can file a Writ Application?-Nature of the power exercised by the Court in

<a href="#">Civil Procedure Code</a>	issuing writs -Circumstances under which a Writ of Mandamus will be issued.
<a href="#">Company Law</a>	<a href="#">D (a minor appearing by his next friend, Senadheerage Sujeewa Rajaratne) vs. Upali Gunasekera, Principal, Royal College and others</a>
<a href="#">Contempt of Court</a>	
<a href="#">Cooperative Societies</a>	
<a href="#">Criminal Law</a>	
<a href="#">Customs Law</a>	Court of Appeal CA (Writ) Application No: 11/2019
<a href="#">Declaration of Title</a>	Administrative Law- <b>Writ of Certiorari/Writ of Mandamus-</b>
<a href="#">Evidence Ordinance</a>	Section 48A (1) of the Land Development Ordinance- Unlawful ejection from a State land alienated by grant- Principle of res judicata-Difference between an action for judicial review and a rei vindicatio action-Jurisdiction of the District Court to hear and determine the legality of an administrative decision of a public authority.
<a href="#">Foreign Judgments</a>	<a href="#">Wickrema Arachchige Dona Leelawathie vs. Divisional Secretary, Kaduwela and others</a>
<a href="#">Enforcement of Fundamental Rights</a>	
<a href="#">Government Quarters (Recovery of Possession) Act</a>	
<a href="#">Industrial Disputes</a>	
<a href="#">Partition</a>	Court of Appeal CA (Writ) Application No: 74/2021
<a href="#">Prescription</a>	Administrative Law- <b>Writ of Certiorari/Writ of Mandamus-</b>
<a href="#">Rei vindicatio action</a>	Section 393 of the Criminal Procedure Code- Is the power of Attorney-General to file indictment amenable to writ jurisdiction?- Power of the Court to intervene with the discretion of the Attorney-General to file indictment- Section -5(1)(a),Section 16 1(a) of the Prevention of Terrorism Act- Is the decision of the Attorney-General to file indictment based on the contents of the confession unreasonable?
<a href="#">Rent and Ejectment</a>	<a href="#">Mohamed Imad Ibthisam Fakhir vs. Hon. Attorney- General</a>
<a href="#">Restitutio in Integrum</a>	
<a href="#">Revocation/cancellation of Deed of Gift</a>	
<a href="#">Right of way</a>	
<a href="#">Tax Law</a>	Court of Appeal CA (PHC) No: 29/2016 Administrative Law- <b>Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of Mandamus-</b> Jurisdiction of High Court to decide applications for writs- Discretionary remedy- Failure to exhaust alternate remedies. <a href="#">Udeni Piyumantha Mahawithana vs H.M.Sirisena and others</a>
	Court of Appeal CA Writ 784/2008 Administrative Law- <b>Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of Mandamus-</b> Laches- Delay to be explained- UDA Law No 41 of 1978- Interpretation- Utilization of Public funds for development. <a href="#">Preethie Kumdinie Abeyesekera vs Hon. Gamini Thilaksiri and others</a>
	Court of Appeal CA (Writ) Application No: 210/2019 Administrative Law - <b>Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of Mandamus-</b> State Lands (Recovery of Possession) Act- Notice to quit- Grounds for Judicial review- Illegality- Unauthorized possession or occupation- Object of the Act is to recover possession expeditiously. <a href="#">Pemawathie Ariyadasa vs M.J.D. Fernando and others</a>

Court of Appeal

*CA (Writ) Application No: 256/2018*

Administrative Law- **Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of Mandamus**- Transfer order- Scheme of Transfer- Exemption from being transferred during course of employment - Member of a trade union - Establishment and functions of Public Service commission- Powers of Administrative Appeals Tribunal- Judicial review of decisions of tribunal- Grounds of illegality and irrationality- Comply and complain principle.

[\*W.A.G Weerasinghe vs P.N.K. Malalasekera and others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA(Writ) Application No. 332/2015*

Administrative Law- **Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of Mandamus**- Universities Act- Appointment to the post of Professor of Surgery (Chair of Surgery) - Scheme of recruitment- Role of Selection Committee- University Grants Commission- Finality of decisions made by Public Authority- Exceptions- Judicial Review- Right to a hearing- Ultra Vires.

[\*Professor Dharmabandhu Nandadeva Samarasekera vs Professor Serosha Mandika Wijeyaratne and others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA (Writ) Application No. 398/2015*

Administrative Law- **Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of Mandamus**- Universities Act- Appointment to the post of Professor of Surgery (Chair of Surgery) - Scheme of recruitment- Role of Selection Committee- University Grants Commission- Finality of decisions made by Public Authority- Exceptions- Judicial Review- Right to a hearing- Ultra vires.

[\*Professor Serosha Mandika Wijeyaratne and others vs Hon. Lakshman Kiriella\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA (Writ) Application No: 400/2020*

Administrative Law-**Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of Mandamus**- Denying of a request for an extension of service- Discretion to grant an extension- Grounds for Judicial review- Test of Unreasonableness- Irrationality.

[\*L.P.I.M. Hissalle vs Sri Lanka Telecom PLC and others\*](#)

[top](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA (Writ) Application No: 2196/2002*

Administrative Law- **Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of Mandamus**- Customs Ordinance- Importance of an importer making a proper and truthful declaration of a good to Sri Lanka Customs- Function of Inquiry officer- Forfeiture of goods.

[\*Free Lanka Trading Company Limite vs S.A.S.W.Jayatilake and others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*C.A. Writ Application No.308/2017*

Administrative Law- **Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of**

**Mandamus**- Immigrations and Emigrations Act- Controller of Immigrations and emigration- Discretionary nature of writ jurisdiction- Discretionary element given to a public authority- Discharge of duties to the applicant- Scope of legitimate expectation.

[\*Dr. Tariq Kuraishy v M.N. Ranasinghe and others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA (Writ) Application No: 344/2017*

Administrative Law- **Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of**

**Mandamus**- Ceiling on Housing Property Law No. 1 of 1973- Decisions of the Board of Review- Object of law- Right to make an application to purchase- Substitution in place of deceased applicant- Fit and Proper- Locus Standi- Fair and reasonable- Judicial Review.

[\*Wilbert Jayanetti vs Shyamal Amith Kollure and others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA (Writ) Application No. 423/2020*

Administrative Law- **Writ of Certiorari/ Writ of Mandamus/**

**Writ of Prohibition**- Import and Export Control Act - License to import - Regulation by Minister- Distinction between the Directory or Mandatory nature of a statute?

[\*SKT Traders \(Private\) Limited vs Hon. Mahinda Rajapaksa and others\*](#)

Supreme Court

*SC Appeal 163/2015*

Annual intake to the Sri Lanka Law College, Section 7 (1) of the Council of Legal Education Ordinance No. 2 of 1900(as amended), foundation to the legitimate expectation, what is unreasonableness?

[\*Amal I. Senevirathne and others Vs The Incorporated Council of Legal Education and others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA (PHC) APN No:164/2019*

Administrative Law- **Revision Application** – exceptional circumstances- alternative remedy- Order complained should be of such nature which would have shocked the conscience of Court - requirements for Per Incuriam.

[\*R.M. Punchimanika v K.M. Dharmadasa\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA(Writ) Application No. 54/2021*

Administrative Law- **Illegality and irrationality** – discretion of court- Whether an IEE should be called or whether an EIA should be called?

[\*N.A. Madanayake and others V Director General and others\*](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA(Writ) Application No. 72/2020  
Mortgage - **S. 3 & 4 Recovery of Loans by Banks (Special Provisions) Act No 4 of 1990** - does a late registration render a Deed of Transfer invalid?  
[K.S. Akeemana V Hatton National Bank and others](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA (Writ) Application No.0281/2007  
Administrative Law – **Writs** – Those who come to Equity should come with clean hands - misrepresentation of facts  
[U.K. Seneviratne & Others V K.L.T.G. Perera & Others](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA (Writ) Application No. 444/2014  
Land Law – **Section 36 of the Land Acquisition Act** – Acquired land - Alternative Land – Compensation - A party cannot blow hot and cold  
[D.P.S. Kumara and Others V The Divisional Secretary and Others](#)

### **ARBITRATION**

Supreme Court  
*SC/HC/LA No. 69/2018*  
Arbitration Section 37(2) of the Arbitration Act No. 11 of 1995- Preliminary objections- Rule 28(3), 27(3) and 8(3) of the Supreme Court Rules- Petition is time barred- Discretion of Court- non-compliance with Rules 28(3) of the SC Rule- failure to exercise due diligence-  
[Colombo Business School Limited Vs Sri Lanka Tea Board and another](#)

### **CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE**

Supreme Court  
*SC Appeal 02/2017*  
Civil Procedure Code -Section 759(2)– **Notice of Appeal** – What is meant by 'material prejudice' in the section (by the mistake - sending the Notice of Appeal to the previous Registered Attorney-at-Law of the Respondent)?  
[Attorney General Vs U.G.Dhanapala](#)

Supreme Court  
*SC Appeal No. 193/2015*  
Civil Procedure Code -Section 402 – **abatement**-  
The court should never exercise the power (under section 402) ex mero motu  
[Kumarasinghe Ranjith Rajakaruna Vs Merchant Bank of Sri Lanka PLC and another](#)

Supreme Court  
*SC Appeal 24/2015*  
Civil Procedure Code-Section 149- **Framing Issues** - New issue during the trial – Can the legality of an instant order (not allowing

the new issue) be raised in the final appeal?-Whether an issue relating to prescription could not be permitted since it is not found in the pleadings?- legality of an order made in the course of a trial can be raised at the final appeal-

[E.A.Samantha Edirisinghe Vs S.G.Kaushalya Rasanjalee and Another](#)

## **COMPANY LAW**

Court of Appeal

CA/Leave to Appeal/69/2006

Company Law - Winding Up - **Section 255 (c) and (f) of the Companies Act 17 of 1982** - Laches- Once a Winding up Order is made, the Directors cease to be in control of the Company - new ground cannot be considered for the first time in appeal.

[Ms. Daisy Pieris and Others V H.A. Pieris and Others](#)

## **CONTEMPT OF COURT**

Supreme Court

SC.Rule No. 01/2018

SC(Contempt of Court) Case No. 04/2017

Contempt of Court under and in terms of Article 105(3) of the Constitution- the objection to the jurisdiction should be taken up at the very inception of the case- Section 792 and 793 of the Civil Procedure Code- Section 38 of the Penal Code- Section 2 of the Criminal Procedure Code-

[Ranawaka Sunil Perera Vs Sadda Vidda Rajapakse Palanga Pathira Ambakumarage Ranjan Leo Sylvester Alphonsu Alias Ranjan Ramanayake](#)

Court of Appeal

CA(Writ) Application No. 52/2021

Contempt of Court – **Article 105(3)** - whether a conviction would attract the disqualification contained in Article 89(d)- Ministerial Functions function is not amenable to the prerogative writ jurisdiction – Is contempt of court an offence?

[Ranjan Ramanayake V Secretary General of Parliament and Others](#)

[top](#)

## **COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES**

Court of Appeal

CA (PHC) 150/15.

Cooperative Societies Law No. 05 of 1972- Compliance of Rule 49 (xiii) (a)- Ultra Vires- Appeal deposit- Lex Non Cogit Ad Impossibilia- Distinction between the "Ratio Decidendi" and the "Obiter Dicta" of a Judgment.

[Dinamithra Gedara Upali Jayakoddy vs W.M.P.K. Weerasekara and others,](#)

## **CRIMINAL LAW**

Court of Appeal  
CA HCC 96-98/2016

**Penal Code Section 296** – Non-compliance of Section 32 of the Penal Code - Unsubstantial contradictions insufficient to construct reasonable doubt - Credibility of witness - Sections 27, 33 of the Evidence Ordinance - Circumstantial Evidence - Medical Evidence - Forensic Evidence - Dock Statements - Corroboration of Evidence.  
[Nalin Yusuf and others Vs Attorney General](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA 109/13

**Penal Code** - Distinction between **Section 293 and 294** of the Penal Code - limb 2 and 3 of Section 296 of the Penal Code - *Mens rea* - Corroboration of Evidence - Medical Evidence - Infliction of several fatal injuries to non-vital body parts - Subsequent conduct - Confessionary Utterance - Purely Circumstantial Evidence - Unsworn statement treated as evidence - Dock statement.  
[Saminathan Sashikumar Vs Attorney General](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA 122-123/2017

**Penal Code Sections 32, 357, 364(2)** – Prosecutrix evidence contradicted by Independent witness and medical evidence - Failure to adduce medical evidence pertaining to rape charges is a serious lapse – Vital contradiction – Rape conviction on unconvincing, uncorroborated testimony.  
[Meegahapala Arachchige Asanka Prabath and another Vs Attorney General](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA 252/2018

**Penal Code Section 364(1)**– Identification of the accused beyond reasonable doubt – Credibility of prosecutrix – Absence of Corroborating evidence - Discrepancies between evidence of the prosecutrix and medical evidence - Unsubstantial contradictions and omissions.  
[Ranatunga Mudiyanseelage Udeni Bandara Ranatunga Vs Attorney General](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA/HCC/44-45/2016

Criminal Law – **Sections 293 (2) and 294 (3) of the Penal Code** – burden of proof – intention - facts when considering a sentence  
[Christopher alias Chuti and Others V Attorney General](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA/HCC/120/2016

Criminal Law – Murder – **burden of proof - circumstantial evidence** – principles to be applied in considering circumstantial evidence - reasonable doubt --Should evidence that is not disputed be accepted?  
[Kirinda Liyanage Don Nicholas Pradeep Sampath V Attorney General](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA/HCC/213/2019  
Criminal Law – Murder – burden of proof - **circumstantial evidence**- evidence to be directly pointed towards the guilt - dictum of Lord Ellenborough- Is there a duty cast upon the accused to give a reasonable explanation?  
[Rajagopal Gunasekaram V Attorney General](#)

## **CUSTOMS LAW**

Court of Appeal  
CA (Writ) Application No. 26/2021  
Customs Law - **Section 52 of the Customs Ordinance as amended**- difference between Section 51A and 52 of the Customs Ordinance as amended – fraud and/or evidence of intentional undervaluation by the importer - essential that the Customs officer conducts investigations and/or inquiry to obtain all relevant information - the rules of natural justice dictate that the general provisions pertaining to inquiries need to be followed.  
[Lu Fortune Investment \(Private\) Limited V Major General Vijitha Ravipriya and others](#)

## **DECLARATION OF TITLE**

Supreme Court  
SC Appeal 87/2017  
Declaration of Title – Plea of **Prescription** – Can a Court of Law decide to give title of property in suit on the basis of prescription without an issue being raised on prescription?-  
[N.L.Elisabeth Perera Vs J.W.C.Hemamali Botheju](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA/DFC/813/97  
Declaration of title - **Re Vindicatio** – Ejectment - Plaintiff must first prove and establish title - Burden of proof shifts to the Defendant once Plaintiff proves title – Prescriptive title – Section 3 of the Prescription Ordinance  
[Maparalalge Chandrakeerthi Banda and Others Vs Dureinge Simon of Thunthota](#)

Supreme Court  
SC Appeal 145/2013  
Declaration of title- **Identification of the corpus**- Over holding tenant-fulfillment or non-fulfillment of contractual obligation- statement of boundaries in title deeds of the adjoining lands can be considered in identifying the extent of a corpus in a dispute- Bona Fide Conduct- he who comes in to Equity must come with clean hands- suppressio veri et suggestio falsi- consequences for disrespecting the judicial system.  
[H. Don Brampi Singho Vs T.M. Dingiri Mahathmaya and another](#)



Court of Appeal

CA 1110/96 (F).

Declaration of title- **Conditional transfer**- Prescription- S.3

Prescription Ordinance- Duty of trial Judge.

[Renapana Arachchilage Champa Janaki Kumari Vs Hewa Pedige Sediris](#)

[top](#)

## **EVIDENCE ORDINANCE**

Supreme Court

SC Appeal 36/2014

Evidence Ordinance **Section 68**- Failure to raise objection when at the close of a case - Does it amount to a waiver of the objection?

[Kadireshan Kugabalan Vs Sooriya Mudiyansele Ranaweera](#)

## **ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN JUDGMENT**

Supreme Court

SC/SPL/LA No.27/2012

Enforcement of Foreign Judgment -**Registration of a foreign judgment** obtained from a Court in the United Kingdom, Reciprocal Enforcement of Judgments Ordinance No 41 of 1921- preliminary objection- Rule 8(3) of the Supreme Court Rules of 1990

[Dan Mukunthan Vs Plexus Cotton Limited](#)

## **FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS**

Supreme Court

SC/FR/97/2017

FR Application - Corporal Punishment & Concept of Discipline- Teachers' responsibility in ensuring the safety of children - School discipline should administered in a manner consistent with the child's human dignity.

[Hewa Maddumage Karunapala and others Vs Jayantha Prema Kumara Siriwardhana and others](#)

Supreme Court

SC FR 418/2015

Fundamental Rights- Legitimate Expectation- Petitioner had a legitimate expectation to continue in the permanent cadre of Government Service until the date of her retirement.

[D.B.D Rajapakshe Vs Mr. Y. Abdul Majeed The Director General of Irrigation and others](#)

Supreme Court

SC FR 556/2008 &

SC FR 557/2008

Fundamental Rights-Freedom of expression and freedom of thought - Limitations - Issue of Sub Judice - Infringement of

Article 10, 12(1) & 14 (1)(a) of the Constitution-

The Law must protect the citizen from threats to the freedom of thought by the State and its agencies, the government needs to act positively in facilitating mental autonomy.

[U. N. S. P. Kurukulasuriya Vs Sri Lanka Rupavahini Corporation and others & J. K. W. Jayasekara Vs Sri Lanka Rupavahini Corporation and others](#)

Supreme Court  
SC FR 132/2014 with  
SC FR 131/2014 &  
SC FR 133/2014  
FR Application – Article 12(1) of the Constitution - Legitimate Expectation - Legitimate expectation of an individual to gain promotions to higher ranks in the course of employment  
[R.A.S.R. Kulathunga Vs Pujith Jayasundera, Inspector General of Police and others](#)

Supreme Court  
SC FR 304/2016 with  
SC FR 204/2016 &  
SC FR 205/2016  
Article 126(2) of the Constitution - FR Application - One month time Rule and Objection - Continuing Infringement  
[Tissa Kumara Liyanage and others Vs Hon. Ranjith Siyambalapitiya, Minister of Power and Renewable Energy and others](#)

Supreme Court  
SC FR 17/19  
FR Application – Article 12 of the Constitution - Grade 1 admission to the Government Schools - Binding process of Circular/Regulation pertaining to the admission of children-All laws must be interpreted, enforced and applied in the public interest. A deviation from such an approach would infringe the right to equality, which Article 12 guarantees.  
[Walbothalage Sayuki Lyensa Fernando and another Vs S.A.S.U. Dissanayake and others](#)

Supreme Court  
SC FR 180/2014  
FR- Article 12[1] of the Constitution-  
it is trite law that in achieving public expectations of equal treatment, transparency in the recruitment process is a key element  
[Ravivathani Thuraisingam Vs University of Jaffna and others](#)

Supreme Court  
SC FR 591/2008  
FR Application - Article 12[1] of the Constitution- Requirements of equal treatment-  
[J. A. Saman Kumara Vs General Manager, Sri Lanka Government Railways and other](#)

Supreme Court  
SC FR 101/2014  
FR- Article 12[1] of the Constitution- Classification- every differentia of persons would not constitute discrimination-

administrative delays as indicated is not referred to in the petition nor substantiated by an affidavit- classifying the Surveyors in terms of the selection criteria and placing them under different stratas is fair and reasonable-

[\*Herath Mudiyansele Ajith Rohitha Bandara Herath and others Vs K. Thawalingam Former Surveyor General and others\*](#)

Supreme Court  
SC FR 242/2010  
FR Application - Article 11,  
12(1) and 14(1)(g) of the Constitution- - Police Rules 2012-  
Section 55 of the Police Ordinance-  
[\*Hondamuni Chandima and another Vs Inspector Malaweera and others\*](#)

[top](#)

Supreme Court  
SC FR No. 403/2016  
FR Application - Article 12[1] of the Constitution- harassment-  
Service of Vacation of Post Notice- Rule 171 and 172 of Chapter XV  
of PSC Rule- vacation of post- legitimate expectation-  
[\*Wickramage Stanley Perera Vs P. H. Manatunga and others\*](#)

Supreme Court  
SC FR No. 542/2009  
FR Application - Article 12[1] of the Constitution- preliminary  
objections- whether application is time barred- Article 126(2) of the  
Constitution- Is there a continuing infringement- Section 5 of the  
Land Acquisition Act- Can the time spent in seeking administrative  
reliefs be excluded from the computation of one month-  
[\*M.T. Mallika Vs Jeevan Kumaratunga and others\*](#)

## **GOVERNMENT QUARTERS (RECOVERY OF POSSESSION) ACT**

Court of Appeal  
CA (PHC) Application No. 200/2017  
Section 6 of the Government Quarters (Recovery of Possession)  
Act, No. 7 of 1969 (as amended) – “Competent Authority” –  
Legitimate expectation  
[\*K.M. Rupasinghe Vs P.K. Padmakeerthi and Others\*](#)

## **INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES**

Supreme Court  
SC Appeal No: 09/2010  
Industrial Dispute- Industrial Disputes Act, No. 43 of 1950 - weight  
of evidence led before Labour Tribunal- meaning of “just and  
equitable” order.  
[\*David Micheal Joachim Vs Aitken Spence Travels Ltd.\*](#)

Supreme Court  
SC Appeal 61/2015

Industrial Dispute-Unlawful termination- burden of proof- physical absence- the intention to desert and abandon employment- no intention to not to report for duty- prevented from reporting for duty- harassment- Order is not just and equitable.

[\*Padmika Mahanama Tilakarathne Vs\*](#)

[\*Maga Neguma Road Construction Equipment Company \(Pvt\) Ltd.\*](#)

Supreme Court

*SC Appeal 187/2017*

Industrial Dispute-Unlawful Termination- who should begin the inquiry- Section 31C (1), Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act No. 43 of 1950-Regulation 30 of the Industrial Disputes Regulations, 1958- in order to make a "just and equitable" order at the conclusion of the inquiry, all interim orders made during an inquiry, should also be just and equitable-An inquiry before a Labour Tribunal under Section 31C (1) is a mixture of an inquisitorial and adversarial system.

[\*John Keells Holdings PLC and others Vs M Ganeshmoorthy\*](#)

## **PARTITION**

Court of Appeal

*C.A.648/2000 (F)*

Partition – Amended Plaintiff – Interventient Petitioners – Inheritance rights of Adoptees – Devolution of Title – Prescriptive Title.

[\*Gamage Kumrapala and others Vs M. M. Martin and Others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA / 1072/99(F)*

Partition – Dispute relating to Allocation of Shares -Evidence Ordinance Sections 91, 92 – Literal Interpretation – Interpretation of Act

[\*Ilaperuma Arachchige Francis Appuhamy Vs Padmawathi Rathasamy and Others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA 915/2000(F)*

Partition - Prescription Ordinance Section 3 - Interventient Petitioners – Prescriptive Title – Evidentiary burden of Plaintiff – Lack of evidence of Adverse Possession - Overt Act - Electoral Registry insufficient to establish Prescriptive rights – No proof of possession by a title adverse to or independent to Plaintiff.

[\*Gamhewage Service and Others Vs Donald Warnakulasooriya Gunasekara and Others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*Case No. CA RI 05/18*

Partition- Setting aside of interlocutory decree- Revision - Laches-Delay to be explained- Importance of identification of Corpus- Duty of Surveyor - Locus of a party to apply for Restitutio in Integrum in a partition action- Intervention of a party into a Partition action - Special and exceptional circumstances.

[\*Punchinona Habakkalahewa and another Vs Uduagama Korallalage Dayasena and another\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA DCF 0524A/99*

Partition – Rights of parties- S.3 of Prescription Ordinance- Burden of proof- Adverse possession- License to cultivate- Requirement of uninterrupted possession.

[\*Dikwella Vidanage Hansawathie vs Karunaratne Gallage, and others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA. Rev 2028/2001*

Partition- Revision/ Resitutio in Intergrum- Nullity- Necessary parties to an action- Non service of summons- S. 45 of Evidence Ordinance- Expert Opinion - Relevancy of opinion- Doctrine of Approbation and Reprobation- S.25 Partition Law- Examination of title-Settlement between parties- Contradicting the accuracy of case record- Consequences of failure to register lis pendens- Consequences of failure to file declaration.

[\*Galpoththage Ramyalatha vs N.K. Dolamullage Ariyadasa and others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA. Rev No. 137/2003*

Partition- Section 5 Partition Law- Necessary parties- Section 12(1) Partition Law – Registration of Lis pendens- S48 Partition Law- Finality of decree- Revisionary power unaffected, where grave miscarriage of Justice- Extraordinary jurisdiction- Collusion- Fraud- Necessity of full investigation and strict proof of title.

[\*Agampodi Gerty Premalatha De Zoysa vs Porawagoda Samson De Silva\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA REV 10/16*

Partition- Revision- Not entitled to seek revision if failed and neglected the right of appeal where provide by law- Scheme of partition - Compliance of regulations made under the Urban Development Authority Act- Power of revision is an extraordinary one- Must shock the conscience of Court - Exercised to avert miscarriage of justice.

[\*J.K.A.Bandulasena vs Mahindrapala Nimmuniarachchi and others\*](#)

Court of Appeal

*CA Appeal No.1118/1999(F)*

Partition Action – **Section 4(c) of the Partition Law** – Pedigree - Proof of title - the Plaintiff must perform an original owner or owners of the land – How can a Company give Evidence?

[\*Anthonidura Pushpa de Soysa Vs Meera Maar Beach Hotel Company Limited\*](#)

## **PRESCRIPTION**

Supreme Court

*SC Appeal 97/2015*

Prescription - Sec. 3 - possession - its nature – how should it be?- mere possession for a period of over ten years does not

amount to possession discussed in Section 3 of the Prescription Ordinance;

[\*Kulasinghe Arachchige Emalka Melani and another Vs Thammahetti Mudalige Don Nobert Peiris\*](#)

Court of Appeal

CA/671/95 (F)

**S.3 of the Prescription Ordinance** – proof of uninterrupted possession- Partition Action-Appellant or his predecessors had not participated in the partition action - duty of the Appellant to establish his entrance to the subject matter after the partition judgment.

[\*W. Gunadasa V Asoka Demel Co. Ltd\*](#)

### **REI VINDICATIO ACTION**

Supreme Court

SC Appeal 163/2019

Rei vindicatio action (1) - encumbrances or fetters- Plea of Prescription - Permissive possession and Adverse Possession – Prescription between close relations-A Deed does not become invalid or less valid merely because consideration was paid by a third party.-Permissive possession, however long it may be, is not prescriptive possession.

[\*S. Prasanth & others Vs N.Devarajan and Another\*](#)

Supreme Court

SC Appeal 212/2014

Rei vindicatio action (2)- Availability of a vindicatory action as against a Declaratory action- Premises is governed by the Rent Act No 07 of 1972- were the tenants protected under the provisions of the Rent Act- Sections 21, 36, 36 (3) and 36 (6) of the Rent Act- Section 18 of the Rent Restriction Act- Attornment- landlord refusing to accept rent- subsequently accepted rents deposited at the Municipality- Notice- continuation of tenancy upon the death of the original tenant- Can a Vindicatory suit lie to eject a tenant protected by the Rent Act?

[\*Kariyawasam Bendigodagama Premawathi Vs Paranavithanage Don Jayathilake Perera\*](#)

### **RENT AND EJECTMENT**

Supreme Court

SC Appeal No. 17/2015

Rent and Ejectment- Sections 22(2)(bb)(ii), 22(6) & 22(7) of the Rent Act, No.7 of 1972, Protection of the Rent Act attached to the contract of tenancy- Applicability of the provisions of the Rent Act- the doctrine of approbation and reprobation- Section 150 of the Civil Procedure Code- Questions of fact or mixed questions of fact and law cannot be taken up for the first time in Appeal-who is a tenant in terms of Section 22 of the Rent Act- Section 116 of the Evidence Ordinance- Defendant did not give evidence- Uncontroverted evidence.

[\*C S Mariel Lilian Karunaratne Vs P.R. Kotalawela\*](#)

Court of Appeal  
CA 480/2000(F)  
Action for Ejectment - Damages – Section 13 of Ceiling of Housing Property – Absence of evidential documents - Tenant or Licensee? – Denial of Tenancy - Section 22 of the Rent Act - *Vigilantibus Et Non Dormientibus Jura Subveniunt* – Right of revocation – Legal Possession  
[D.E. Jayasinghe Vs A.M.K.P.Nona and Others](#)

### **RESTITUTIO IN INTEGRUM**

Court of Appeal  
CA RI 01/2019  
Restitutio in Integrum – Settlement between parties in Civil proceedings- Setting aside/ vacating a settlement recorded by mistake – Ground of “Justus Error”- Restitution an extraordinary remedy to be exercised in exceptional circumstances- Compliance of S.408 CPC, S.91 CPC- Agreement entered into during a course of an action may be set aside on certain grounds.  
[W.R. Perera Vs. Special Educational Society and others](#)

### **REVOCAION/CANCELLATION OF A DEED OF GIFT**

Supreme Court  
SC Appeal No. 127/2012  
Revocation/cancellation of a Deed gift- Declaration of title- Plea of Prescriptive Title- Purpose of framing of issues and admissions- Applicability of Kandyan Law, validity of a donation- Whether Deed of Revocation is mandatory- new ground for the first time in appeal- the Judge’s duty-Revocation of Irrevocable Deeds of Gift on the Ground of Gross Ingratitude Act, No.5 of 2017-Reserving the right to revoke a Deed of Gift- Section 154 of the Civil Procedure Code- Overt act- Exceptio Rei Venditae et traditae- Effect of subsequent gift or sale of the land by the donee - priority of registration-Reliable witness-Tenancy.  
[Haji Lebbai Mohamed Ismail alias Mohamed Lebbai Vs Dawooduge Mohamed Abiyar and other](#)

### **RIGHT OF WAY**

Supreme Court  
SC Appeal 88/2011  
Right of way (Access)- consent decree entered without precision of the corpus- Settlement- Consent/Compromise Decree- when can it be vacated.  
[Inconvelt Ifisharans Lafabar Vs Palani Muruganandan](#)

### **TAX LAW**

Court of Appeal  
CA No. Tax 0015/2017  
Tax Laws – S. **5(2) & 33(1) of the Value Added Tax Act No. 14 of 2002** – Strict interpretation of Tax Law  
[Richard Pieris Rubber Compounds Ltd V The Commissioner General of Inland Revenue](#)

[top](#)

### Contact us

[Felician Fernandopulle](#) |

Chairman

[Charitha Jayawickrema](#) |

Convenor

[Samantha Thennakoon](#) |

Co-Convenor

[Asele Moragolla](#) |

Member

### Email to a friend:

Do you know someone who might be interested in this email?

Forward this to them.

### Unsubscribe

You are receiving this email because you have signed up for our mailing list.

If you do not wish to receive the eNewsletter anymore you may unsubscribe.